

To: Mahmud, Shahid[Mahmud.Shahid@epa.gov]; Stalcup, Dana[Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov]; Rigger, Don[Rigger.Don@epa.gov]; Gartner, Lois[Gartner.Lois@epa.gov]; Fitz-James, Schatzi[Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov]
From: Woolford, James
Sent: Tue 9/1/2015 5:03:13 PM
Subject: FW: Username and Password

Jim Woolford, Director
Office of Superfund Remediation & Technology Innovation
US EPA

Sent from my Windows Phone
Please excuse typos

From: Hestmark, Martin
Sent: 9/1/2015 10:34 AM
To: Cheatham, Reggie; Tulis, Dana; Woolford, James
Cc: R8 Documentation Unit Leader; Ostrander, David; Stavnes, Sandra
Subject: FW: Username and Password

I send this thinking you may not have seen it and to give you some perspective going forward (especially over the winter). I have asked the AOC and OSCs to evaluate the metal loading in the creek before the event and to understand what the impact of treating the 500gpm at the gold king would have on metal loading if it is technically possible and the decision is made to try and treat over the winter. Note the terrain and geography. The treatment plant or facility/ponds would be at 10,500 ft elevation (down in the valley) and the water from the gold king is at 11,400 ft elevation so getting the water to the facility over the winter will be a challenge (freezing pipes and avalanches and likely a catch basin at the facility which we may have to keep from freezing) Keeping the plant running will be a challenge. The road will have to be kept open to this Gladstone area. Setting expectations that we can treat this water over the winter also sets us up for failing. This is something that we are preparing to brief up but thought I better start with you. Call me if you want to talk about this further.

From: McComb, Martin
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 9:26 AM
To: Hestmark, Martin
Subject: Fwd: Username and Password

Let me know if this doesn't work.

<ftp://r8.ercloud.org/goldking>

User:

Nonresponsive

Passw